# NATIVE MODE PORTING CASE STUDY

#### Adrian Jackson

adrianj@epcc.ed.ac.uk



### Native mode porting

- Porting large FORTRAN codes
  - No code changes
  - Re-compile
  - Add linking to MKL
- MPI parallelised code
  - Some hybrid or OpenMP (small numbers of threads)
- Native mode to reduce code modifications required



#### GS2

- Flux-tube gyrokinetic code
  - Initial value code
  - Solves the gyrokinetic equations for perturbed distribution functions together with Maxwell's equations for the turbulent electric and magnetic fields
  - Linear (fully implicit) and Non-linear (dealiased pseudo-spectral) collisional and field terms
  - 5D space 3 spatial, 2 velocity
  - Different species of charged particles
- Advancement of time in Fourier space
- Non-linear term calculated in position space
  - Requires FFTs
  - FFTs only in two spatial dimensions perpendicular to the magnetic field
- Heavily dominated by MPI time at scale
  - Especially with collisions



### New hybrid implementation

- Funneled communication model
- OpenMP done at a high level in the code
- Single parallel region per time step
  - Better can be achieved (single parallel region per run)
- Some code excluded but computationally expensive code all hybridised

| MPI processes | OpenMP threads | Execution time (seconds) |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 192           | 1              | 16.54                    |
| 96            | 2              | 18.34                    |
| 64            | 3              | 16.46                    |
| 48            | 4              | 30.86                    |
| 32            | 6              | 28.3                     |



#### Port to Xeon Phi

- Pure MPI code performance:
  - ARCHER (2x12 core Xeon E5-2697, 16 MPI processes): 3.08 minutes
  - Host (2x8 core Xeon E5-2650, 16 MPI processes): 4.64 minutes
  - 1 Phi (176 MPI processes): 7.34 minutes
  - 1 Phi (235 MPI processes): 6.77 minutes
  - 2 Phis (352 MPI processes): 47.71 minutes
- Hybrid code performance
  - 1 Phi (80 MPI processes, 3 threads each): 7.95 minutes
  - 1 Phi (120 MPI processes, 2 threads each): 7.07 minutes



### Complex number optimisation

- Much of GS2 uses FORTRAN Complex numbers
  - However, often imaginary and real parts are treated separately
  - Can affect vectorisation performance
- Work underway to replace with separate arrays
  - Initial performance numbers demonstrate performance improvement on Xeon Phi
  - 2-3% for a single routine when using separate arrays



#### COSA

- Fluid dynamics code
  - Harmonic balance (frequency domain approach)
  - Unsteady navier-stokes solver
  - Optimise performance of turbo-machinery like problems
  - Multi-grid, multi-level, multi-block

code

 Parallelised with MPI and with MPI+OpenMP









COSA Hybrid Performance

Tasks (either MPI processes or MPI processes x OpenMP Threads)



#### Xeon Phi Performance

| Configuration                         | Number of hardware<br>elements | Occupancy | Runtime (s) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 8 MPI processes                       | 1/2                            | 8/16      | 2105.71     |
| 16 MPI processes                      | 2/2                            | 16/16     | 1272.54     |
| 64 MPI processes                      | 1/2                            | 64/240    | 3874.45     |
| 64 MPI processes 3<br>OpenMP threads  | 1/2                            | 192/240   | 2963.58     |
| 118 MPI processes<br>4 OpenMP threads | 2/2                            | 472/480   | 2118.05     |
| 128 MPI processes<br>3 OpenMP threads | 2/2                            | 384/480   | 1759.30     |

- Hardware:
  - 2 x Xeon Sandy Bridge 8-core E5-2650 2.00GHz
  - 2 x Xeon Phi 5110P 60-core 1.05GHz
- Test case
  - 256 blocks
  - Maximum 7 OpenMP threads



#### Serial optimisations

#### Manual removal of floating point loop invariants divisions

```
do ipde = 1,4
    fac1 = fact * vol(i,j)/dt
end do
recip = 1.0d / dt
do ipde = 1,4
    fact1 = fact * vol(i,j) * recip
```

end do

- Provides ~15% speedup so far on Xeon Phi
  - No real benefit noticed on host
  - Changes the results



## **I/O**

- Identified that reading input is now significant overhead for this code
  - Output is done using MPI-I/O, reading is done serially
  - File locking overhead grows with process count
  - Large cases ~GB input files
- Parallelised reading data
  - Reduce file locking and serial parts of the code
- One or two orders of magnitude improvement in performance at large process counts
  - 1 minute down to 5 seconds



### Future work

| Configuration                         | Number of hardware elements | Occupancy | Runtime (s) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 8 MPI processes                       | 1/2                         | 8/16      | 2105.71     |
| 16 MPI processes                      | 2/2                         | 16/16     | 1272.54     |
| 128 MPI processes                     | 1/2                         | 128/240   | 1903.51     |
| 64 MPI processes 3<br>OpenMP threads  | 1/2                         | 192/240   | 2214.56     |
| 128 MPI processes<br>3 OpenMP threads | 2/2                         | 384/480   | 1503.45     |

- Further serial optimisation
  - Cache blocking
- 3D version of the code now developed
  - Porting optimised and hybrid version to this

