VECTORISATION

Adrian Jackson adrianj@epcc.ed.ac.uk @adrianjhpc



Vectorisation

Same operation on multiple data items

- Wide registers
- SIMD needed to approach FLOP peak performance, but your code must be capable of vectorisation for(i=0;i<N;i++) {</pre>

x86 SIMD instruction sets:

- SSE: register width = 128 Bit
 - 2 double precision floating point operands •
- AVX: register width = 256 Bit
 - 4 double precision floating point operands



$$a[1] = b[1] + c[1]$$

$$a(i) = b(i) + c(i)$$

end do





Intel AVX512



- KNL processor has 2 x AVX512 vector units per core
 - Symmetrical units
 - Only one supports some of the legacy stuff (x87, MMX, some of the SSE stuff)
 - Vector instructions have a latency of 6 instructions



KNL AVX-512

- AVX512 has extensions to help with vectorisation
- Conflict detection (AVX-512CD)
 - Should improve vectorisation of loops that have dependencies <code>vpconflict</code> instructions
 - If loops don't have dependencies telling the compile will still improve performance (i.e. #pragma ivdep)
- Exponential and reciprocal functions (AVX-512ER)
 - Fast maths functions for transcendental sequences
- Prefetch (AVX-512PF)
 - Gather/Scatter sparse vectors prior to calculation
 - Pack/Unpack



Compiler vs explicit vectorisation

- Compilers will automatically try to vectorise code
 - Implicit vectorisation
 - Can help them to do this
 - Compiler always chooses correctness rather than performance
 - Will often make an automatic decision about when to vectorise
- There are programming constructs/features that let you write explicit vector code
 - Can be less portable/more machine specific
 - Defined code will always be vectorised (even if slower)



When does the compiler vectorize

- What can be vectorized
 - Only loops
- Usually only one loop is vectorisable in loopnest
 - And most compilers only consider inner loop
- Optimising compilers will use vector instructions
 - Relies on code being vectorisable
 - Or in a form that the compiler can convert to be vectorisable
 - Some compilers are better at this than others
- Check the compiler output listing and/or assembler listing
 - Look for packed AVX/AVX2/AVX512 instructions

i.e. Instructions using registers zmm0-zmm31 (512-bit) ymm0-ymm31 (256-bit) xmm0-xmm31 (128-bit)

Instructions like vaddps, vmulps, etc...



Intel compiler

- Intel compiler requires
 - Optimisation enabled (generally is by default)
 - -02
 - To know what hardware it's compiling for
 - -xMIC-AVX512
 - This is added automatically for you on ARCHER
 - Can disable vectorisation
 - -no-vec
 - Useful for checking performance
 - Intel compiler will provide vectorisation information
 - -qopt-report=[n] (i.e. -qopt-report=5)



Helping vectorisation

- Does the loop have dependencies?
 - information carried between iterations

```
• e.g. counter: total = total + a(i)
```

- No:
 - Tell the compiler that it is safe to vectorise
- Yes:
 - Rewrite code to use algorithm without dependencies, e.g.
 - promote loop scalars to vectors (single dimension array)
 - use calculated values (based on loop index) rather than iterated counters, e.g.

```
• Replace: count = count + 2; a(count) = ...
```

• **By**: a(2*i) = ...

- move ${\tt if}$ statements outside the inner loop
- may need temporary vectors to do this (otherwise use masking operations)
- Is there a good reason for this?
 - There is an overhead in setting up vectorisation; maybe it's not worth it
 - Could you unroll inner (or outer) loop to provide more work?



Vectorisation example

- Compiler cannot easily vectorise:
 - Loops with pointers
 - None-unit stride loops
 - Funny memory patterns
 - Unaligned data accesses
 - Conditionals/Function calls in loops
 - Data dependencies between loop iterations

```
int *loop_size;
void problem_function(float *data1, float *data2, float
*data3, int *index){
    int i, j;
    for(i=0;i<*loop_size;i++){
        j = index[i];
        data1[j] = data2[i] * data3[i];
    }
}
```



Vectorisation example

- · Can help compiler
 - Tell it loops are independent
 - #pragma ivdep
 - !dir\$ ivdep
 - Tell it that variables or arrays are unique
 - restrict
 - Align arrays to cache line boundaries
 - Tell the compiler the arrays are aligned
 - Make loop sizes explicit to the compiler
 - Ensure loops are big enough to vectorise

```
int *loop_size;
void problem_function(float * restrict data1, float * restrict data2, float
* restrict data3, int * restrict index){
    int i, j, n;
    n = *loop_size;
    #pragma ivdep
    for(i=0;i<n;i++){
        j = index[i];
        data1[j] = data2[i] * data3[i];
    }
}
```

Vectorisation example

This loop doesn't vectorise either:

```
do j = 1,N
    x = xinit
    do i = 1,N
        x = x + vexpr(i,j)
        y(i) = y(i) + x
        end do
        and do
```

end do

Compiler will vectorise inner loop by default

Dependency on x between loop iterations

```
do j = 1,N
    x(j) = xinit
end do
do j = 1,N
    do i = 1,N
        x(i) = x(i) + vexpr(i,j)
        y(i) = y(i) + x(i)
    end do
end do
```



Data alignment

- When vectorising data aligned data is essential for performance
 - Cache line



-
- Unaligned data
 - May require multiple data loads, multiple cache lines, multiple instructions
 - Will generate 3 different versions of a loop: peel, kernel, remainder
- Aligned data
 - Minimum number of data loads/cache lines/instructions
 - Will generate 2 different versions of a loop: kernel and remainder



Align data

Align on allocate/create (dynamic)

```
• _mm_malloc, _mm_free
float *a = _mm_malloc(1024*sizeof(float),64);
```

• align attribute (at definition, not allocation)

```
real, allocatable :: A(1024)
```

```
!dir$ attributes align : 64 :: a
```

Align on definition (static)

```
float a[1024] __attribute__((aligned(64)));
```

```
real :: A(1024)
```

```
!dir$ attributes align : 64 :: a
```

- Common blocks in Fortran
 - It's not possible to use directives to align data inside a common block
 - Can align the start of a common block

```
!DIR$ ATTRIBUTES ALIGN : 64 :: /common_name/
```

- Up to you to pad elements inside common block
- Derived types
 - May need to use SEQUENCE keyword and manually pad to get correct alignment



Multi-dimensional alignment

- Need to be careful with multi-dimensional arrays and alignment
 - If you _mm_malloc each dimension then it should be fine
 - If you do a single dimension _mm_malloc there may be issues:

```
float* a = _mm_malloc(16*15(sizeof(float), 64);
for(i=0;i<16;i++){
#pragma vector aligned
   for(j=0;j<15;j++){
        a[i*15+j]++;
    }
}</pre>
```



Inform on alignment

- For non-static data, as well as aligning data, need to tell compiler it is aligned
- Number of different ways to do this
- Alignment of data inside a loop
 - Specify all data in the loop is aligned

```
#pragma vector aligned
```

- !dir\$ vector aligned
- Alignment of an array

```
· Specify, for code after the alignment statement, a specific array is aligned
```

```
__assume_aligned(a, 64);
```

```
!dir$ assume_aligned a: 64
```

May also need to define to properties of loop scalars

```
__assume(n1%16==0);
for(i=0;i<n;i++){
    x[i] = a[i] + a[i-n1] + a[i+n1];
}
!dir$ assume(mod(n1,16).eq.0)
• Also can use OpenMP simd clause
• Specify array is aligned for simd loop
#pragma omp simd aligned(a:64)
```

```
!omp$ simd aligned(a:64)
```



Fortran data

- Different ways of passing data to subroutines can affect performance
- Explicit arrays

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C)
```

```
real, intent(inout), dimension(1024) :: A
```

```
real, intent(in), dimension(1024) :: B, C
```

- Compiler generates subroutine code based on contiguous data
 - Packing/unpacking required to do this is done by the compiler at caller level
 - May be overhead associated with this
- Need to tell the compiler the arrays are aligned (i.e. !dir\$ assume_aligned or !dir\$ vector aligned)
- Same for arrays where array size is passed as an argument to the routine



Fortran data

Assumed size arrays

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C)
```

real, intent(inout), dimension(1024) :: A

```
real, intent(in), dimension(1024) :: B, C
```

- Compiler will generate different versions of the code, with and without contiguous functionality
 - Different versions may show up in the vector reports from the compiler
 - If there are too many different potential versions not all of them will necessarily be generated
 - The fall back version (none unit stride, not vectorised) will be used in this case for inputs that don't match any of the other versions
- Choice which is used made at runtime
- Still need to tell the compiler the arrays are aligned



Fortran data

Assumed shape arrays

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C)
real, intent(inout), dimension(*) :: A
real, intent(in), dimension(*) :: B, C
```

- Compiler generates subroutine code based on contiguous data
 - Packing/unpacking required to do this is done by the compiler at caller level
 - May be overhead associated with this
- Still need to tell the compiler the arrays are aligned



Fortran Indirect addressing

Indirect addressing code can have some strange affects on vectorisation

```
subroutine vec_add_mult(A, B, C, index)
real, intent(inout), dimension(1024) :: A
real, intent(in), dimension(1024) :: B, C
integer, intent(in), dimension(1024) :: index
integer :: I
• Following has flow dependency (needs ivdep directive)
do i=1,n
  a(index(i)) = a(index(i)) + b(index(i)) * c(index(i))
end do

    Uses gather and scatter operations to pack/unpack indexed locations

    Following creates array temporary for right hand side evaluation

a(index(:)) = a(index(:)) + b(index(:)) * c(index(:))

    Ends up creating 2 loops

temp(:) = a(index(:)) + b(index(:)) * c(index(:))
a(index(:)) = temp(:)

    Uses gather/scatter in both loops
```



Gathers and Scatters

- If data not accessed in unit stride, may still be vectorised
 - Using vector gather and scatter instructions
 - Pack and unpack registers
- KNL has specialised gather and scatter instructions
 - Improve performance of data load/store (compared to older vectorisation functionality)
 - Still cost more than aligned data
- Vector scalar
 - Possible to vectorise and still be doing scalar calculations
 - Vector operation on a single valid element
 - Compiler reports vectorisation, performance doesn't change



Masking

- Vectorisation is disrupted by conditional statements in loops
- Mask instructions can be used to protect elements that shouldn't be updated based on an if/else construct
 - mask is an integer array that can be compared for non-zero numbers
 - select the vector lanes to run or update

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    if (Trigger[i] < Val) {
        A[i] = B[i] + 0.5;
     }
}</pre>
```



Blending

Compiler will try and use more advanced techniques to avoid masking

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    if (Trigger[i] < Val) {
        A[i] = B[i] + 0.5;
    }
else{
        A[i] = B[i] - 0.5;
    }
}</pre>
```

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i+=16) {
   TmpB= B[i:i+15];
   Mask = Trigger[i:i+15] < Val
   TmpA1 = TmpB + 0.5;
   TmpA2 = TmpB - 0.5;
   TmpA = BLEND Mask, TmpA1, TmpA2
   A[i:i+15] = TmpA;
}</pre>
```



Explicit vectorisation

- Language features, intrinsics, extensions, etc... let you manually specify vectorisation
 - Override compiler, implement yourself
 - Forces compiler to do it
 - Up to you to make sure it's correct
- OpenMP SIMD directive
- Intel directives
- CilkPlus/Fortran array notation
- Vector intrinsics
 - Not recommend for KNL
 - At least, the intrinsics used for KNC are not expected to give good
 performance on KNL



OpenMP SIMD directives

- Many compilers support SIMD directives to aid vectorisation of loops.
 - compiler can struggle to generate SIMD code without these
- OpenMP 4.0 provides a standardised set
- Use **simd** directive to indicate a loop should be vectorised

#pragma omp simd [clauses]

!omp\$ simd [clauses]

- Executes iterations of following loop in SIMD chunks
- Loop is not divided across threads
- SIMD chunk is set of iterations executed concurrently by SIMD lanes



OpenMP SIMD clauses

- Clauses control data environment and partitioning
- **safelen (length)** limits the number of iterations in a SIMD chunk.
- linear (a1, a2,) lists variables with a linear relationship to the iteration space (loop variable)
- aligned(a1:base,...) specifies byte alignments of a list of variables
- **private**, **lastprivate**, **reduction** specify data scoping of functionality (as per the OpenMP standard)
- collapse will combine multiple perfectly nested loops below the directive to give a bigger loop space
- **declare simd** directive to generate SIMDised versions of functions.
- Can be combined with OpenMP loop constructs



SIMD example

```
int *loop_size;
void problem_function(float *data1, float
*data2, float *data3, int *index) {
  int i, j;
  #pragma omp simd
  for(i=0;i<*loop_size;i++) {</pre>
    j = index[i];
    data1[j] = data2[i] * data3[i];
```



SIMD function

- Can define functions that can be called from within a vectorised loop
 - Can specify things about the function arguments
- Fortran:

!\$omp declare simd(name) [clause
[[,clause]...]
function name ...

• C/C++

#pragma omp declare simd [clause
[[,clause]...]

function name



SIMD function clauses

- **simdlen(length)** defines the vector length to be used, must be power of 2
- linear (a1, a2,) lists variables with a linear relationship to the iteration space (loop variable)
- aligned(al:base,...) specifies byte alignments of a list of variables
- uniform(qdata,...) declares that arguments aren't vectors (so constant across SIMD lanes
- inbranch, notinbranch whether function is called in a branch or not



Cilk

- C/C++ extension
 - Provides array and array section operations
 - Similar to Fortran array syntax
- Specify array start, length, and stride

```
A[:]
```

```
A[start : length ]
```

```
A[start : length : stride ]
```

 length is number of elements in subarray, not maximum index in subarray

```
A[:] = B[:] + C[:]
```



Cilk

```
    Long form

A[0:N] = B[0:N] + C[0:N];
D[0:N] = A[0:N] * B[0:N];

    Concise

    Short form

for(i=0;i<N;i=i+V) {</pre>
  A[i:V] = B[i:V] + C[i:V];
  D[i:V] = A[i:V] * B[i:V];
}
```

- Can be more efficient, loop blocking so should give better cache reuse.
 - Same true of Fortran array syntax



Fortran array syntax and elemental

Standard Fortran array syntax should vectorise well

```
real, dimension(1024) :: a,b,c
```

```
!dir$ attributes align : 64 :: a
```

```
!dir$ attributes align : 64 :: b
```

```
!dir$ attributes align : 64 :: c
```

A=B+C

 Elemental functions should also allow loops containing them to be vectorised:

```
module test_mod
implicit none
contains
elemental real function square(x)
    real, intent(in) :: x
    square = x*x
end function
end module
```

```
program test_prog
use test_mod
implicit none
integer :: i
real, dimension(4) :: x = (/ 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 /)
do i=1,4
square(x(i))
end do
end program
```



Explicit vector programming

• Can program with explicit vector instructions double A[vec_width], B[vec_width];

 $_m512d A_vec = _mm512_load_pd(A);$

 $_m512d B_vec = _mm512_load_pd(B);$

```
A\_vec = \_mm512\_add\_pd(A\_vec,B\_vec);
```

_mm512_store_pd(A,A_vec);

- Not recommended as it limits portability
 - i.e. KNC instructions will not perform as efficiently on KNL
 - If want to do from Fortran can cross call between C and Fortran, write kernel in C



Comparing vectorisation performance



Summary

- Vectorisation key to performance on modern processors
 - With it, 32x performance boost for KNL
 - 16 DP vector operations x FMA
- Vector support for real world applications better with KNL
 - Vectorisation of gather/scatter/dependencies better supported
 - Still will have some performance impact
- Test your code with and without vectorisation
 - Manually turn it off at compile time
 - See what the performance difference is
- Look at the compiler vectorisation reports
 - Understand how well it (thinks it) is vectorising your code

